

**To:** CN=Kate Fay/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Gregory Oberley/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Fri 10/5/2012 8:57:19 PM  
**Subject:** contact with a Raton Basin resident  
[polarfield.com](http://polarfield.com)  
[ch2m.polar.com](http://ch2m.polar.com)  
[armap.org](http://armap.org)  
[polarpower.org](http://polarpower.org)  
[blog](http://blog)  
<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=is-fracking-behind-contamination-in-wyoming-groundwater>  
<http://www.nature.com/news/is-fracking-behind-contamination-in-wyoming-groundwater-1.11543>  
[Jeff Tollefson](#)  
[email](#)  
[rights & permissions](#)  
[1](#)  
[2](#)  
[3](#)  
[1](#)  
[Fracking boom spurs environmental audit](#)  
[Air sampling reveals high emissions from gas field](#)  
[2](#)  
[Unfortunate oversight](#)  
[4](#)  
[http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf)  
[http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/DS718\\_508.pdf](http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/DS718_508.pdf)  
<http://www.sierraclub.org/pressroom/downloads/myers-tech-memo-093012.pdf>  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100682108>  
[aseptoff@earthworksaction.org](mailto:aseptoff@earthworksaction.org)  
<http://pledge.nodirtygold.org>  
[cog@earthworksaction.org](mailto:cog@earthworksaction.org)  
[cog+unsubscribe@earthworksaction.org](mailto:cog+unsubscribe@earthworksaction.org)  
[aseptoff@earthworksaction.org](mailto:aseptoff@earthworksaction.org)  
<http://cog.earthworksaction.org>

Tracy Dahl sent me an email today. As you can see below I did a quick response acknowledging his request.

gregory

Gregory Oberley  
 Aquifer Protection Team  
 US EPA Region VIII (EP-EPR)  
 1595 Wynkoop Street  
 Denver, Colorado 80202

303-312-7043

----- Forwarded by Gregory Oberley/R8/USEPA/US on 10/05/2012 02:55 PM -----

**From:** Gregory Oberley/R8/USEPA/US  
**To:** "Tracy Dahl" <polarsolar@hughes.net>  
**Date:** 10/05/2012 02:53 PM  
**Subject:** Re: FW: [COG] nature/scientific american on USGS/Pavillion

Thanks for the update Tracy I had not seen these articles. Please be patient we are working on getting data out to residents and other stakeholders.

gregory

Gregory Oberley  
Aquifer Protection Team  
US EPA Region VIII (EP-EPR)  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, Colorado 80202

303-312-7043

From: "Tracy Dahl" <polarsolar@hughes.net>  
To: Gregory Oberley/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: "Amy Dahl" <(b)(6) privacy [email address]> "Marcia Dasko" <(b)(6) privacy [email address]> Rick Wilkin/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 10/05/2012 10:37 AM  
Subject: FW: [COG] nature/scientific american on USGS/Pavillion

Gregory,

More information for you to consider. The conclusion is that proximity is a significant issue.

Are we going to discuss the EPA's findings on the North Fork Ranch at some point? As you are aware, the distances of separation here are even less than in the Pavillion case.

Tracy Dahl  
Renewable Energy and Alternative Technology Specialist, NABCEP certified PV installer  
Polar Field Services, Inc.  
8100 Shaffer Parkway #100  
Littleton, CO 80127  
o: 303.518.8713  
f: 303.984.1445  
polarfield.com | ch2m.polar.com | armap.org | polarpower.org  
Check out our blog  
Polar Field Services is a partner of CH2MHILL Polar Services

From: Alan Septoff [mailto:aseptoff@earthworksaction.org]  
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2012 10:17 AM  
To: cog  
Subject: [COG] nature/scientific american on USGS/Pavillion

<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=is-fracking-behind-contamination-in-wyoming-groundwater>  
<http://www.nature.com/news/is-fracking-behind-contamination-in-wyoming-groundwater-1.11543>

#### NATURE | NEWS: EXPLAINER

Is fracking behind contamination in Wyoming groundwater?

Questions about whether hydraulic 'fracking' is to blame remain as the US EPA prepares for peer review.

· Jeff Tollefson

04 October 2012

Natural gas extraction via hydraulic fracturing has been linked to contamination in groundwater.

GETTY IMAGES

## Article tools

- [print](#)
- [email](#)
- [rights & permissions](#)
- [share/bookmark](#)

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sparked a firestorm in December last year when it released a draft report<sup>1</sup> suggesting that the use of hydraulic fracturing — or 'fracking' — to extract natural gas had contaminated groundwater near Pavillion, Wyoming. Industry officials have long denied that fracking affects groundwater, and Pavillion has become the first high-profile test of this claim. On 26 September, the US Geological Survey (USGS) released data showing the presence of groundwater contamination in the region<sup>2</sup>. Although the data would seem to support the EPA's assessment — as does an independent analysis released by environmental groups this week<sup>3</sup> — the survey did not seek to determine the source of the contamination. Nature examines the on-going debate and how it relates to broader questions about groundwater contamination from fracking across the United States.

How did this investigation begin?

After local landowners complained about the smell and taste of their water, the EPA began in 2009 to analyse the groundwater outside Pavillion. The agency tested the water in the shallow wells that tap the groundwater above the 169 gas-producing wells in the field; in two municipal wells in the town; and in several surface and deep wells that it drilled for monitoring purposes. It found evidence of contamination in both the shallow and deep wells, and attributed the shallow contamination to the 33 or so nearby surface pits used to store drilling wastes<sup>1</sup>. The pits could not, however, explain the contamination in the deeper groundwater.

What is the evidence that fracking contaminated the deep groundwater?

A range of hydrocarbons showed up in the deep wells, as did some synthetic organic chemicals associated with fracking fluids and drilling activities. The EPA also found high pH levels that could be explained by potassium hydroxide, which was used in a solvent at the site. The agency also analyzed the evolution of the pollution plume to determine that groundwater seems to be migrating upward, suggesting that the source of contamination came from the gas production zone rather than the surface pits.

Related stories

- [Fracking boom spurs environmental audit](#)
- [Air sampling reveals high emissions from gas field](#)

Officials with both industry and the state of Wyoming questioned the EPA's data as well as its interpretation, arguing that some hydrocarbons are to be expected through natural migration from the gas field. The state then asked the USGS to conduct a new analysis and provide the data to the state. The USGS provided those data last week<sup>2</sup>; it also sent samples to the EPA, which is conducting its own analysis.

What do the latest results suggest?

The USGS provided only the raw data and no interpretation. A spokeswoman for the EPA has said that the results are consistent with the agency's findings, and an analysis by environmental groups released this week confirmed that. A scientist who has investigated possible contamination at other sites, Rob Jackson of Duke University in Durham, North Carolina, says that multiple lines of evidence are certainly "suggestive" of fracking as a source of contamination.

Does this settle the debate?

No. Encana Corporation, an energy producer based in Calgary, Canada, that has wells in the field near Pavillion, maintains that neither the EPA draft report nor the USGS results provide any proof that drilling operations are to blame.

Is this case unique?

There have been allegations of groundwater contamination at other locations where fracking has taken place, but it is not yet clear how common the problem might be. It is less likely, for instance, in regions where the gas is very deep in the ground, such as in Pennsylvania, where production takes place at depths of 1,500 meters or more. In Pavillion, the gas wells are as shallow as 372 metres, while wells tapping groundwater are up to 244 metres deep; this makes communication between the two zones much easier.

A report in February by the University of Texas at Austin's Energy Institute found no evidence of contamination from fracking near wells in Texas, Pennsylvania or New York, but the university is currently reviewing that report after the lead scientist, Charles Groat, was accused of having a conflict of interest (see 'Unfortunate oversight').

A 2011 study in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences by Jackson and his colleagues<sup>4</sup> documented high concentrations of methane and other hydrocarbons in groundwater close to fracking operations in Pennsylvania and New York. But Jackson says that the contamination may have come not from the fracking but from the wells themselves, which can serve as a conduit between geological formations if not properly sealed.

What comes next?

The EPA plans to complete its analysis of the water samples and then turn over all of the data for an independent peer review later this year. In a press conference on Tuesday, Wyoming Governor Matt Mead said that the state would analyse the USGS data and then determine whether it needs to change its rules on fracking operations.

In parallel, the EPA is conducting a national assessment of environmental and public-health issues associated with fracking and expects to produce an initial report later this year.

Nature

doi:10.1038/nature.2012.11543

#### References

1. US Environmental Protection Agency. Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming (EPA, 2011). available at [http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf)  
Show context
2. US Geological Survey Groundwater-Quality and Quality-Control Data for Two Monitoring Wells near Pavillion, Wyoming, April and May 2012 (USGS, 2012). available at [http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/DS718\\_508.pdf](http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/DS718_508.pdf)  
Show context
3. Myers, T. Technical Memorandum: Assessment of Groundwater Sampling Results Completed by the U.S. Geological Survey (2012). available at <http://www.sierraclub.org/pressroom/downloads/myers-tech-memo-093012.pdf>  
Show context
4. Osborne, S. G., Vengosh, A., Warner, N. R. & Jackson, R. B. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA advance online publication <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100682108> (2011).  
Show context

=== EARTHWORKS: Protecting Communities and the Environment

Alan Septoff  
Research/IT Director  
202-887-1872x105 (work)  
202-271-2355 (mobile)  
202-887-1875 (fax)  
skype:alanseptoff  
aseptoff@earthworksaction.org  
twitter: earthworksrocks

USE YOUR CONSUMER POWER: SIGN THE PLEDGE TO END DIRTY GOLD MINING!

<http://pledge.nodirtygold.org>

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to [cog@earthworksaction.org](mailto:cog@earthworksaction.org).

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to  
[cog+unsubscribe@earthworksaction.org](mailto:cog+unsubscribe@earthworksaction.org)

This list is administered by Alan Septoff ([aseptoff@earthworksaction.org](mailto:aseptoff@earthworksaction.org)). To request an addition to the list, please contact him via email.

For more options, including the list archive, visit this group at  
<http://cog.earthworksaction.org>

For archives prior to April 2012, contact the list admin.